Designation: G64 − 99 (Reapproved 2021)

Standard Classification of Resistance to Stress-Corrosion Cracking of Heat-Treatable Aluminum Alloys[1]

This standard is issued under the fixed designation G64; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon (ε) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

INTRODUCTION

Stress-corrosion behavior is an important characteristic to be considered when optimizing the choice of material for an engineering structure. Unfortunately, there is no generally accepted scale for measuring it, and stress-corrosion tendencies are difficult to define because of the complex interdependence of the material, tensile stress, environment, and time. Conventional test-dependent types of laboratory stress-corrosion data have only very limited applicability in mathematical models used for materials selection.

This standard is intended to provide a qualitative classification of the relative resistance to stress-corrosion cracking (SCC) of high-strength aluminum alloys to assist in the selection of materials. The classification is based on a combination of service experience and a widely accepted laboratory corrosion test.

It is cautioned, however, that any such generalized classification of alloys can involve an oversimplification in regard to their behavior in unusual environments. Moreover, the quantitative prediction of the service performance of a material in a specific situation is outside the scope of this standard.

1. Scope

1.1 This classification covers alphabetical ratings of the relative resistance to SCC of various mill product forms of the wrought 2XXX, 6XXX, and 7XXX series heat-treated aluminum alloys and the procedure for determining the ratings.

1.2 The ratings do not apply to metal in which the metallurgical structure has been altered by welding, forming, or other fabrication processes.

1.3 The values stated in SI units are to be regarded as standard. The values given in parentheses after SI units are provided for information only and are not considered standard.

1.4 *This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety, health, and environmental practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.*

1.5 *This international standard was developed in accordance with internationally recognized principles on standardization established in the Decision on Principles for the Development of International Standards, Guides and Recommendations issued by the World Trade Organization Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) Committee.*

2. Referenced Documents

2.1 *ASTM Standards:*[2]

G44 Practice for Exposure of Metals and Alloys by Alternate Immersion in Neutral 3.5 % Sodium Chloride Solution[2]

G47 Test Method for Determining Susceptibility to Stress-Corrosion Cracking of 2XXX and 7XXX Aluminum Alloy Products

2.2 *Other Documents:*

MIL-HANDBOOK-5 Metallic Materials and Elements for Aerospace Vehicle Structures[3]

MIL-STD-1568 Materials and Processes for Corrosion Prevention and Control in Aerospace Systems[3]

[1] This classification is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee G01 on Corrosion of Metals and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee G01.06 on Environmentally Assisted Cracking.

Current edition approved Aug. 1, 2021. Published August 2021. Originally approved in 1980. Last previous edition approved in 2013 as G64 – 99 (2013). DOI: 10.1520/G0064-99R21.

[2] For referenced ASTM standards, visit the ASTM website, www.astm.org, or contact ASTM Customer Service at service@astm.org. For *Annual Book of ASTM Standards* volume information, refer to the standard's Document Summary page on the ASTM website.

[3] Available from DLA Document Services, Building 4/D, 700 Robbins Ave., Philadelphia, PA 19111-5094, http://quicksearch.dla.mil.

TABLE 1 Practical Interpretation of Ratings for Resistance to SCC

NOTE 1—The stress levels mentioned below and the test stresses mentioned in 6.2 are not to be interpreted as "threshold" stresses, and are not recommended for design. Other documents, such as MIL-HANDBOOK-5, MIL-STD-1568, NASC SD-24, and MSFC-SPEC-522A, should be consulted for design recommendations.

Rating	Interpretation
A	Very high. SCC not anticipated in general applications if the total sustained tensile stress[A] is less than 75 % of the minimum specified yield strength for the alloy, heat treatment, product form, and orientation.
B	High. SCC not anticipated if the total sustained tensile stress[A] is less than 50 % of the minimum specified yield strength.
C	Intermediate. SCC not anticipated if the total sustained tensile stress[A] is less than 25 % of the minimum specified yield strength. This rating is designated for the short transverse direction in improved products used primarily for high resistance to exfoliation corrosion in relatively thin structures where appreciable short transverse stresses are unlikely.
D	Low. SCC failures have occurred in service or would be anticipated if there is any sustained tensile stress[A] in the designated test direction. This rating currently is designated only for the short transverse direction in certain materials.

[A] The sum of all stresses including those from service loads (applied), heat treatment, straightening, forming, and so forth.

MSFC-SPEC-522A Design Criteria for Controlling Stress Corrosion Cracking[4]

3. Terminology

3.1 *Definitions:*

3.1.1 *lot*—an identifiable quantity of material of the same mill form, alloy, temper, section, and size (or thickness, in the case of sheet and plate) traceable to a heat treat lot or lots, and subjected to inspection at one time.

3.1.2 *stress-corrosion cracking (SCC)*—a cracking process that requires the simultaneous action of a corrodent and sustained tensile stress. SCC in aluminum alloy products historically has been observed to follow an intergranular path leading to the ultimate fracture. Thus, for the purpose of this standard, a fractured test specimen that reveals only pitting corrosion or pitting plus transgranular cracking shall not be considered as an SCC failure (Test Method G47).

4. Significance and Use

4.1 This classification involves alphabetical ratings intended only to provide a qualitative guide for materials selection. The ratings are based primarily on the results of standard corrosion tests.

4.2 Interpretations of the SCC ratings in terms of typical problem areas including service experience are given in Table 1. Practical experience has shown that SCC problems with aluminum alloys generally have involved situations where the direction and magnitude of the tensile stresses resulting from manufacturing or use, or both, of the material were not recognized.

4.3 A list of the SCC ratings for the heat-treatable aluminum alloy products is given in Table 2. Revisions to the table will be required as new materials become available and additional test results are accumulated.

4.4 These alphabetical ratings are not suitable for direct use in mathematical models for material selection, but numerical weights and confidence factors can be devised on the basis of experience and judgment of the materials engineer.[5]

5. Basis of Classification

5.1 The stress-corrosion ratings for new or additional materials shall be based on laboratory tests of standard smooth specimens for susceptibility at specified stress levels. The 3.5 % NaCl alternate immersion test (Practice G44) was chosen for the laboratory test because it is widely used for aluminum alloys and is capable of detecting materials that would be likely to be susceptible to SCC in natural environments.[6]

5.2 Other types of tests using precracked specimens or dynamic loading have promise[7] as alternative or supplementary methods, but they presently require better understanding and standardization.

6. Test Method

6.1 To rate a new material and test direction, stress-corrosion tests shall be performed on at least ten random lots. The highest rating assigned shall be that for which the test results show 90 % conformance at the 95 % confidence level when tested at the following stresses:

A—Equal to or greater than 75 % of the specified minimum yield strength.

B—Equal to or greater than 50 % of the specified minimum yield strength.

C—Equal to or greater than 25 % of the specified minimum yield strength or 100 MPa (14.5 ksi), whichever is higher.

D—Fails to meet the criterion for rating C.

6.2 Specimens shall be exposed by alternate immersion in 3.5 % sodium chloride solution in accordance with Practice G44.

[4] Available from National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA), 300 E St. SW, Suite 5R30, Washington, DC 20546, https://www.nasa.gov.

[5] Cook, O. H., Shaffer, I. S., Hoffner, J., and Devitt, D. F., "A Method for Predicting Stress Corrosion Cracking," Paper No. 224 Presented at the NACE Corrosion/78 International Corrosion Conference, Houston, TX, March 6–10, 1978.

[6] Sprowls, D. O., Summerson, T. J., Ugiansky, G. M., Epstein, S. G., and Craig, H. L., Jr., "Evaluation of a Proposed Standard Method of Testing for Susceptibility to Stress-Corrosion Cracking of High-Strength 7XXX Series Aluminum Alloy Products," *Stress Corrosion-New Approaches, ASTM STP 610*, ASTM, 1976, pp. 3–31.

[7] Brown, B. F., "*Stress Corrosion Cracking Control Measures," NBS Monograph 156*, published by the U.S. Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards, June 1977.