



Designation: D6555 – 23

Standard Guide for Evaluating System Effects in Repetitive-Member Wood Assemblies¹

This standard is issued under the fixed designation D6555; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon (ϵ) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

INTRODUCTION

The apparent stiffness and strength of repetitive-member wood assemblies is generally greater than the stiffness and strength of the members in the assembly acting alone. The enhanced performance is a result of load sharing, partial composite action, and residual capacity obtained through the joining of members with sheathing or cladding, or by connections directly. The contributions of these effects are quantified by comparing the response of a particular assembly under an applied load to the response of the members of the assembly under the same load. This guide defines the individual effects responsible for enhanced repetitive-member performance and provides general information on the variables that should be considered in the evaluation of the magnitude of such performance.

The influence of load sharing, composite action, and residual capacity on assembly performance varies with assembly configuration and individual member properties, as well as other variables. The relationship between such variables and the effects of load sharing and composite action is discussed in engineering literature. Consensus committees have recognized design stress increases for assemblies based on the contribution of these effects individually or on their combined effect.

The development of a standardized approach to recognize “system effects” in the design of repetitive-member assemblies requires standardized analyses of the effects of assembly construction and performance. Users are cautioned to understand that the performance improvements that might be observed in system testing are often related to load paths or boundary conditions in the assembly that differ from those of individual members. This is especially true for relatively complex assemblies. For such assemblies, users are encouraged to design the test protocols such that internal load paths, as well as summations of “loads in” versus “loads out” are measured (see [X3.11.7.1](#)). Data from testing, preferably coupled with analytical predictions, provide the most effective means by which system factors can be developed. When system factors are intended to apply to strength (rather than being limited to stiffness), loads must be applied to produce failures so that the effects of nonlinearities or changes in failure modes can be quantified.

1. Scope

1.1 This guide identifies variables to consider when evaluating repetitive-member assembly performance for parallel framing systems.

1.2 This guide defines terms commonly used to describe interaction mechanisms.

1.3 This guide discusses general approaches to quantifying an assembly adjustment including limitations of methods and materials when evaluating repetitive-member assembly performance.

1.4 This guide does not detail the techniques for modeling or testing repetitive-member assembly performance.

1.5 The analysis and discussion presented in this guideline are based on the assumption that a means exists for distributing applied loads among adjacent, parallel supporting members of the system.

1.6 Evaluation of creep effects is beyond the scope of this guide.

1.7 This guide does not purport to suggest or establish appropriate safety levels for assemblies, but cautions users that designers often interpret that safety levels for assemblies and full structures should be higher than safety levels for individual structural members.

¹ This guide is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee [D07](#) on Wood and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee [D07.05](#) on Wood Assemblies.

Current edition approved Oct. 1, 2023. Published October 2023. Originally approved in 2000. Last previous edition approved in 2017 as D6555 – 17. DOI: 10.1520/D6555-23.

NOTE 1—Methods other than traditional safety factor approaches, such as reliability methods, are increasingly used to estimate the probability of failure of structural elements. However, the extension of these methods to assemblies or to complete structures is still evolving. For example, complete structures will likely exhibit less variability than individual structural elements. Additionally, there is a potential for beneficial changes in failure modes (that is, more ductile failure modes in systems). These considerations are beyond the scope of this guide.

1.8 The values stated in inch-pound units are to be regarded as the standard. The values given in parentheses are mathematical conversions to SI units that are provided for information only and are not considered standard.

1.9 *This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety, health, and environmental practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.*

1.10 *This international standard was developed in accordance with internationally recognized principles on standardization established in the Decision on Principles for the Development of International Standards, Guides and Recommendations issued by the World Trade Organization Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) Committee.*

2. Referenced Documents

2.1 ASTM Standards:²

D245 Practice for Establishing Structural Grades and Related Allowable Properties for Visually Graded Lumber

D1990 Practice for Establishing Allowable Properties for Visually-Graded Dimension Lumber from In-Grade Tests of Full-Size Specimens

D2915 Practice for Sampling and Data-Analysis for Structural Wood and Wood-Based Products

2.2 Other Documents:

ANSI/ASAE EP559.1 Design Requirements and Bending Properties for Mechanically-Laminated Wood Assemblies³

ASCE/SEI 7 Minimum Design Loads and Associated Criteria for Buildings and Other Structures⁴

ANSI/AWC SPDWS Special Design Provisions for Wind and Seismic⁵

ANSI/AWC NDS National Design Specification (NDS) for Wood Construction⁵

ANSI/TPI 1 National Design Standard for Metal Plate Connected Wood Truss Construction⁶

3. Terminology

3.1 Definitions:

² For referenced ASTM standards, visit the ASTM website, www.astm.org, or contact ASTM Customer Service at service@astm.org. For *Annual Book of ASTM Standards* volume information, refer to the standard's Document Summary page on the ASTM website.

³ Available from American Society of Agricultural and Biological Engineers (ASABE), 2950 Niles Road, St. Joseph, MI 49085, <http://www.asabe.org>.

⁴ Available from American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE), 1801 Alexander Bell Dr., Reston, VA 20191, <http://www.asce.org>.

⁵ Available from American Wood Council, 50 Catocin Circle NE, Suite 201, Leesburg, VA 20176.

⁶ Available from Truss Plate Institute, 218 N. Lee Street, Ste. 312, Alexandria, VA 22314.

3.1.1 *composite action, n*—interaction of two or more connected wood members that increases the effective section properties over that determined for the individual members.

3.1.2 *element, n*—discrete physical piece of a member such as a truss chord.

3.1.3 *global correlation, n*—correlation of member properties based on analysis of property data representative of the species or species group for a large defined area or region rather than mill-by-mill or lot-by-lot data.

3.1.3.1 *Discussion*—The area represented may be defined by political, ecological, or other boundaries.

3.1.4 *load sharing, n*—distribution of load among adjacent, parallel members in proportion to relative member stiffness.

3.1.5 *member, n*—structural wood element or elements such as studs, joists, rafters, trusses, that carry load directly to assembly supports.

3.1.5.1 *Discussion*—A member may consist of one element or multiple elements.

3.1.6 *parallel framing system, n*—system of parallel framing members.

3.1.7 *repetitive-member wood assembly, n*—system in which three or more members are joined using a transverse load-distributing element.

3.1.7.1 *Discussion*—Exception: Two-ply assemblies can be considered repetitive-member assemblies when the members are in direct side-by-side contact and are joined together by mechanical connections or adhesives, or both, to distribute load.

3.1.8 *residual capacity, n*—ratio of the maximum assembly capacity to the assembly capacity at first failure of an individual member or connection.

3.1.9 *sheathing gaps, n*—interruptions in the continuity of a load-distributing element such as joints in sheathing or decking.

3.1.10 *transverse load-distributing elements, n*—structural components such as sheathing, siding and decking that support and distribute load to members.

3.1.10.1 *Discussion*—Other components such as cross bridging, solid blocking, distributed ceiling strapping, strongbacks, and connection systems may also distribute load among members.

4. Significance and Use

4.1 This guide covers variables to be considered in the evaluation of the performance of repetitive-member wood assemblies. System performance is attributable to one or more of the following effects:

4.1.1 Load sharing,

4.1.2 Composite action, or

4.1.3 Residual capacity.

4.2 This guide is intended for use where design stress adjustments for repetitive-member assemblies are being developed.