



Standard Practice for Applying Statistical Quality Assurance and Control Charting Techniques to Evaluate Analytical Measurement System Performance¹

This standard is issued under the fixed designation D6299; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon (ϵ) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

1. Scope*

1.1 This practice covers information for the design and operation of a program to monitor and control ongoing stability and precision and bias performance of selected analytical measurement systems using a collection of generally accepted statistical quality control (SQC) procedures and tools.

NOTE 1—A complete list of criteria for selecting measurement systems to which this practice should be applied and for determining the frequency at which it should be applied is beyond the scope of this practice. However, some factors to be considered include (1) frequency of use of the analytical measurement system, (2) criticality of the parameter being measured, (3) system stability and precision performance based on historical data, (4) business economics, and (5) regulatory, contractual, or test method requirements.

1.2 This practice is applicable to stable analytical measurement systems that produce results on a continuous numerical scale.

1.3 This practice is applicable to laboratory test methods.

1.4 This practice is applicable to validated process stream analyzers.

1.5 This practice is applicable to monitoring the differences between two analytical measurement systems that purport to measure the same property provided that both systems have been assessed in accordance with the statistical methodology in Practice D6708 and the appropriate bias applied.

NOTE 2—For validation of univariate process stream analyzers, see also Practice D3764.

NOTE 3—One or both of the analytical systems in 1.5 may be laboratory test methods or validated process stream analyzers.

1.6 This practice assumes that the normal (Gaussian) model is adequate for the description and prediction of measurement system behavior when it is in a state of statistical control.

NOTE 4—For non-Gaussian processes, transformations of test results may permit proper application of these tools. Consult a statistician for further guidance and information.

1.7 *This international standard was developed in accordance with internationally recognized principles on standardization established in the Decision on Principles for the Development of International Standards, Guides and Recommendations issued by the World Trade Organization Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) Committee.*

2. Referenced Documents

2.1 ASTM Standards:²

- D3764 Practice for Validation of the Performance of Process Stream Analyzer Systems
- D4175 Terminology Relating to Petroleum Products, Liquid Fuels, and Lubricants
- D5191 Test Method for Vapor Pressure of Petroleum Products and Liquid Fuels (Mini Method)
- D6300 Practice for Determination of Precision and Bias Data for Use in Test Methods for Petroleum Products, Liquid Fuels, and Lubricants
- D6617 Practice for Laboratory Bias Detection Using Single Test Result from Standard Material
- D6708 Practice for Statistical Assessment and Improvement of Expected Agreement Between Two Test Methods that Purport to Measure the Same Property of a Material
- D6792 Practice for Quality Management Systems in Petroleum Products, Liquid Fuels, and Lubricants Testing Laboratories
- D7372 Guide for Analysis and Interpretation of Proficiency Test Program Results
- D7915 Practice for Application of Generalized Extreme Studentized Deviate (GESD) Technique to Simultaneously Identify Multiple Outliers in a Data Set
- E177 Practice for Use of the Terms Precision and Bias in ASTM Test Methods
- E178 Practice for Dealing With Outlying Observations

¹ This practice is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee D02 on Petroleum Products, Liquid Fuels, and Lubricants and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee D02.94 on Coordinating Subcommittee on Quality Assurance and Statistics.

Current edition approved Dec. 1, 2023. Published December 2023. Originally approved in 1998. Last previous edition approved in 2023 as D6299 – 23^{e1}. DOI: 10.1520/D6299-23A.

² For referenced ASTM standards, visit the ASTM website, www.astm.org, or contact ASTM Customer Service at service@astm.org. For *Annual Book of ASTM Standards* volume information, refer to the standard's Document Summary page on the ASTM website.

E456 Terminology Relating to Quality and Statistics
E691 Practice for Conducting an Interlaboratory Study to
Determine the Precision of a Test Method

3. Terminology

3.1 Definitions:

3.1.1 More extensive lists of terms related to quality and statistics are found in Terminology **D4175**, Practice **D6300**, and Terminology **E456**.

3.1.2 *repeatability conditions*, *n*—conditions where independent test results are obtained with the same method on identical test items in the same laboratory by the same operator using the same equipment within short intervals of time.

D6300

3.1.3 *reproducibility (R)*, *n*—a quantitative expression for the random error associated with the difference between two independent results obtained under reproducibility conditions that would be exceeded with an approximate probability of 5 % (one case in 20 in the long run) in the normal and correct operation of the test method.

D6300

3.1.4 *reproducibility conditions*, *n*—conditions where independent test results are obtained with the same method on identical test items in different laboratories with different operators using different equipment.

3.1.4.1 *Discussion*—Different laboratory by necessity means a different operator, different equipment, and different location and under different supervisory control.

D6300

3.2 Definitions of Terms Specific to This Standard:

3.2.1 More extensive lists of terms related to quality and statistics are found in Terminology **D4175**, Practice **D6300**, and Terminology **E456**.

3.2.2 *accepted reference value*, *n*—a value that serves as an agreed-upon reference for comparison and that is derived as (1) a theoretical or established value, based on scientific principles, (2) an assigned value, based on experimental work of some national or international organization, such as the U.S. National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), or (3) a consensus value, based on collaborative experimental work under the auspices of a scientific or engineering group.

3.2.3 *accuracy*, *n*—the closeness of agreement between an observed value and an accepted reference value.

3.2.4 *analytical measurement system*, *n*—a collection of one or more components or subsystems, such as samplers, test equipment, instrumentation, display devices, data handlers, printouts or output transmitters, that is used to determine a quantitative value of a specific property for an unknown sample in accordance with a test method.

3.2.4.1 *Discussion*—A standard test method (for example, ASTM, ISO) executed at a single site using a specific instrument is an example of an *analytical measurement system*.

3.2.4.2 *Discussion*—The control chart methodology and work processes described in this practice are intended to be applied independently to the final results produced from each individual measurement system, or, differences between results from two individual measurement systems for the same test sample. They are not intended to be applied to combined final

results from multiple individual analytical systems or different instruments executing the same test method.

3.2.5 *assignable cause*, *n*—a factor that contributes to variation and that is feasible to detect and identify.

3.2.6 *bias*, *n*—a systematic error that contributes to the difference between a population mean of the measurements or test results and an accepted reference or true value.

3.2.7 *blind submission*, *n*—submission of a check standard or quality control (QC) sample for analysis without revealing the expected value to the person performing the analysis.

3.2.8 *check standard*, *n*—*in QC testing*, a material having an accepted reference value used to determine the accuracy of a measurement system.

3.2.8.1 *Discussion*—A check standard is preferably a material that is either a certified reference material with traceability to a nationally recognized body or a material that has an accepted reference value established through interlaboratory testing. For some measurement systems, a pure, single component material having known value or a simple gravimetric or volumetric mixture of pure components having calculable value may serve as a check standard. Users should be aware that for measurement systems that show matrix dependencies, accuracy determined from pure compounds or simple mixtures may not be representative of that achieved on actual samples.

3.2.9 *common (chance, random) cause*, *n*—for quality assurance programs, one of generally numerous factors, individually of relatively small importance, that contributes to variation, and that is not feasible to detect and identify.

3.2.10 *control limits*, *n*—limits on a control chart that are used as criteria for signaling the need for action or for judging whether a set of data does or does not indicate a state of statistical control.

3.2.11 *double blind submission*, *n*—submission of a check standard or QC sample for analysis without revealing the check standard or QC sample status and expected value to the person performing the analysis.

3.2.12 *in-statistical-control*, *adj*—a process, analytical measurement system, or function that exhibits variations that can only be attributable to common cause.

3.2.13 *lot*, *n*—a definite quantity of a product or material accumulated under conditions that are considered uniform for sampling purposes.

3.2.14 *out-of-statistical-control*, *adj*—a process, analytical measurement system, or function that exhibits variations in addition to those that can be attributable to common cause and the magnitude of these additional variations exceed specified limits.

3.2.14.1 *Discussion*—For clarification, a transition from an in-statistical-control system to an out-of-statistical-control system does not automatically imply that there is a change in the fit for use status of the system in terms of meeting the requirements for the intended application.

3.2.15 *precision*, *n*—the closeness of agreement between test results obtained under prescribed conditions.