



Designation: B765 – 03 (Reapproved 2023)

Standard Guide for Selection of Porosity and Gross Defect Tests for Electrodeposits and Related Metallic Coatings¹

This standard is issued under the fixed designation B765; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon (ϵ) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

1. Scope

1.1 This guide describes some of the available standard methods for the detection, identification, and measurement of porosity and gross defects in electrodeposited and related metallic coatings and provides some laboratory-type evaluations and acceptances. Some applications of the test methods are tabulated in [Table 1](#) and [Table 2](#).

1.2 This guide does not apply to coatings that are produced by thermal spraying, ion bombardment, sputtering, and other similar techniques where the coatings are applied in the form of discrete particles impacting on the substrate.

1.3 This guide does not apply to beneficial or controlled porosity, such as that present in microdiscontinuous chromium coatings.

1.4 Porosity test results (including those for gross defects) occur as chemical reaction end products. Some occur in situ, others on paper, or in a gel coating. Observations are made that are consistent with the test method, the items being tested, and the requirements of the purchaser. These may be visual inspection (unaided eye) or by 10 $\times$ magnification (microscope). Other methods may involve enlarged photographs or photomicrographs.

1.5 The test methods are only summarized. The individual standards must be referred to for the instructions on how to perform the tests.

1.6 The values stated in SI units are to be regarded as standard. The values given in parentheses are for information only.

1.7 *This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety, health, and environmental practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.*

1.8 *This international standard was developed in accordance with internationally recognized principles on standardization established in the Decision on Principles for the Development of International Standards, Guides and Recommendations issued by the World Trade Organization Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) Committee.*

2. Referenced Documents

2.1 ASTM Standards:²

- [B276 Test Method for Apparent Porosity in Cemented Carbides](#)
- [B374 Terminology Relating to Electroplating](#)
- [B537 Practice for Rating of Electroplated Panels Subjected to Atmospheric Exposure](#)
- [B542 Terminology Relating to Electrical Contacts and Their Use](#)
- [B545 Specification for Electrodeposited Coatings of Tin](#)
- [B605 Specification for Electrodeposited Coatings of Tin-Nickel Alloy](#)
- [B650 Specification for Electrodeposited Engineering Chromium Coatings on Ferrous Substrates](#)
- [B689 Specification for Electroplated Engineering Nickel Coatings](#)
- [B733 Specification for Autocatalytic \(Electroless\) Nickel-Phosphorus Coatings on Metal](#)
- [B734 Specification for Electrodeposited Copper for Engineering Uses](#)
- [B735 Test Method for Porosity in Gold Coatings on Metal Substrates by Nitric Acid Vapor](#)
- [B741 Test Method for Porosity in Gold Coatings on Metal Substrates by Paper Electrography \(Withdrawn 2005\)³](#)
- [B798 Test Method for Porosity in Gold or Palladium Coatings on Metal Substrates by Gel-Bulk Electrography](#)
- [B799 Test Method for Porosity in Gold and Palladium Coatings by Sulfurous Acid/Sulfur-Dioxide Vapor](#)
- [B809 Test Method for Porosity in Metallic Coatings by](#)

¹ This guide is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee B08 on Metallic and Inorganic Coatings and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee B08.10 on Test Methods.

Current edition approved Nov. 1, 2023. Published November 2023. Originally approved in 1986. Last previous edition approved in 2018 as B765 – 93 (2018). DOI: 10.1520/B0765-03R23.

² For referenced ASTM standards, visit the ASTM website, www.astm.org, or contact ASTM Customer Service at service@astm.org. For *Annual Book of ASTM Standards* volume information, refer to the standard's Document Summary page on the ASTM website.

³ The last approved version of this historical standard is referenced on www.astm.org.

TABLE 1 Applications of Standard Porosity Tests to Metallic Coatings (Section 6)

Substrate Metal ^A	Gold	Silver	Nickel	Tin-Nickel	Tin	Tin-Lead	Copper	Palladium	Chromium
Copper and Copper Alloys	6.1 ^B , 6.2, 6.4, 6.5	6.3A	6.4	6.4	6.4	6.4	...	6.2, 6.3A, 6.4, 6.5	...
Nickel	6.1 ^B , 6.2, 6.5	6.3A	...	...	...	...	...	6.2, 6.3A, 6.5	...
Iron or Steel	6.6	...	6.6	6.3B, 6.6	6.3B, 6.6	6.3B, 6.6	6.6	...	6.6
Silver	6.4	...	6.4	6.4	6.4	6.4	...	6.4	...

^A The substrate may be the basis metal, an underplate, or both (see [Note 1](#)).

^B Thickness restrictions may apply.

TABLE 2 Applications of Tests for Gross Defects and Mechanical Damage (Section 7)

Substrate Metal ^A	Gold	Nickel	Tin-Nickel	Tin	Tin-Lead	Palladium	Silver
Copper and Copper Alloys	7.3, 7.5	7.3, 7.4	7.3	7.3	7.3	7.3, 7.5	7.5
Nickel	7.5	...	...	...	...	7.5	7.5
Iron or Steel	7.1	7.1	7.1	7.1	7.1	7.1	...
Aluminum	...	7.2	...	...	...	...	...

^A The substrate may be the basis metal, an underplate, or both (see [Note 1](#)).

Humid Sulfur Vapor (“Flowers-of-Sulfur”)

B866 Test Method for Gross Defects and Mechanical Damage in Metallic Coatings by Polysulfide Immersion

B877 Test Method for Gross Defects and Mechanical Damage in Metallic Coatings by the Phosphomolybdic Acid (PMA) Method

3. Terminology

3.1 *Definitions*—Many terms used in this guide are defined in Terminology [B374](#) or [B542](#).

3.2 Definitions of Terms Specific to This Standard:

3.2.1 *porosity*—for the purpose of this guide, porosity in a coating is defined as any hole, crack, or other defect that exposes the underlying metal to the environment. Differences between the major types of porosity are described in Section 5.

3.2.2 *underplate*—a metallic coating layer between the basis metal and the topmost metallic coating. The thickness of an underplating is usually greater than 1 µm, in contrast to a strike or flash, which are usually thinner.

4. Significance and Use

4.1 Porosity tests indicate the completeness of protection or coverage offered by the coating. When a given coating is known to be protective when properly deposited, the porosity serves as a measure of the control of the process. The effects of substrate finish and preparation, plating bath, coating process, and handling, may all affect the degree of imperfection that is measured.

NOTE 1—The substrate exposed by the pores may be the basis metal, an underplate, or both.

4.2 The tests in this guide involve corrosion reactions in which the products delineate pores in coatings. Since the chemistry and properties of these products may not resemble those found in service environments, these tests are not recommended for prediction of product performance unless correlation is first established with service experience.

5. Applications

5.1 From the viewpoint of both porosity testing and functional significance, it is useful to divide porosity into two broad categories, namely intrinsic porosity and gross defects.^{4,5}

5.1.1 *Intrinsic* or *normal* porosity is due primarily to small deviations from ideal plating and surface preparation conditions. As such, it will be present to some degree in all commercial thin platings and will generally follow an inverse relationship with thickness. In addition, scanning electron microscope (SEM) studies have shown that the diameter of such pores at the plating surface is of the order of micrometers, so that only small areas of underlying metal are exposed to the environment.

5.1.2 *Gross defects*, on the other hand, would result in comparatively large areas of exposed basis metal or underplating. Examples of such defects are mechanical damage to the coating through mishandling or wear. Gross defects can also be found in undamaged coatings in the form of networks of microcracks and as large as-plated pores—with diameters an order of magnitude (or more) greater than intrinsic porosity. Such gross defects indicate such serious deviations from acceptable coating practice as dirty substrates and contaminated or out-of-balance baths.

5.2 Intrinsic porosity and most types of gross defects are too small to be seen except at magnifications so high that a realistic assessment of the overall coating surface in the functional areas of the part cannot be made. Instead, the presence and severity of the porosity is normally determined by some type of pore-corrosion test that will magnify the pore sites by producing visible reaction products in and around the pores or cracks. Tests for gross defects (Section 7), and especially for mechanical damage and wear, are designed to be less severe. Such tests,

⁴ Baker, R. G., Holden, C. A., and Mendizza, A., Proceedings of the American Electroplaters Society, Vol 50, 1963, p. 61.

⁵ Krumbein, S. J., “The ASTM Approach to Porosity Testing,” Proc. 1991 International Technical Conf. of the American Electroplaters and Surface Finishers Soc., (SUR/FIN '91), Toronto, 1991, pp. 527–536.